THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 597 of 2003

Dated: 14.11.2007

Between:

B. Dwarakanatha Reddy.

... Petitioner

AND

The Chairman & Managing Director,
A.P. Tourism Development Corporation Ltd.,
Hyderabad and others.

... Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri M.N. Narasimha Reddy

Counsel for the respondents : Sri D. Srinivas Rao for
Sri A.K. Narasimha Rao

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 597 of 2003

ORDER:-

This writ petition is filed for a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to restore water supply to Horsely Hills School and collect the water charges as was done by Rural Water Supply Department and the Roads and Building Department.

At the hearing, Sri M.N. Narasimha Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that as far as the first part of the relief is concerned, it has become infructuous for the time being since the Horsely Hills School has been closed. With respect to the second limb of the relief sought for, namely, collection of water charges as per the charges, which were earlier being collected by Rural Water Supply Department, the learned counsel invited my attention to representation dated 09.10.2002 sent by the petitioner to the Chairman and Managing Director of Andhra Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation, Hyderbad. The learned counsel for the petitioner says that the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondents to dispose of the said representation and in the event any adverse decision is taken, the petitioner may be given liberty to avail appropriate remedies thereafter.

Sri D. Srinivas Rao representing Sri A.K.Narasimha Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents says that he has no objection if the writ petition is disposed of on the above terms suggested by the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above submissions of the learned counsel for

the parties, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1 to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 09.10.2002 within a period of eight weeks. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision that may be taken by respondent No.1, he is given liberty to avail appropriate legal remedy.

As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition, WPMP.No.774 of 2003 and WVMP.No.2071 of 2003 are disposed of as infructuous.

_____

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

Date: 14.11.2007
ES